

# Determinants

D. H. Luecking

05/07 Feb 2024

If we have reason to believe an  $n \times n$  system has a unique solution, is there a formula for that solution?

If we have reason to believe an  $n \times n$  system has a unique solution, is there a formula for that solution? Here is what happens when  $n = 1$ :

$$ax_1 = b, \quad x_1 = \frac{b}{a}$$

If we have reason to believe an  $n \times n$  system has a unique solution, is there a formula for that solution? Here is what happens when  $n = 1$ :

$$ax_1 = b, \quad x_1 = \frac{b}{a}$$

We know it has a unique solution if  $a \neq 0$ .

If we have reason to believe an  $n \times n$  system has a unique solution, is there a formula for that solution? Here is what happens when  $n = 1$ :

$$ax_1 = b, \quad x_1 = \frac{b}{a}$$

We know it has a unique solution if  $a \neq 0$ . Now consider

$$a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 = b_1$$

$$a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 = b_2$$

If we have reason to believe an  $n \times n$  system has a unique solution, is there a formula for that solution? Here is what happens when  $n = 1$ :

$$ax_1 = b, \quad x_1 = \frac{b}{a}$$

We know it has a unique solution if  $a \neq 0$ . Now consider

$$a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 = b_1$$

$$a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 = b_2$$

If we multiply the first equation by  $a_{21}$  and the second equation by  $a_{11}$  and subtract the first from the second we get

$$(a_{11}a_{22} - a_{21}a_{12})x_2 = b_2a_{11} - b_1a_{21} \quad \text{and so,} \quad x_2 = \frac{b_2a_{11} - b_1a_{21}}{a_{11}a_{22} - a_{21}a_{12}}$$

If we have reason to believe an  $n \times n$  system has a unique solution, is there a formula for that solution? Here is what happens when  $n = 1$ :

$$ax_1 = b, \quad x_1 = \frac{b}{a}$$

We know it has a unique solution if  $a \neq 0$ . Now consider

$$a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 = b_1$$

$$a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 = b_2$$

If we multiply the first equation by  $a_{21}$  and the second equation by  $a_{11}$  and subtract the first from the second we get

$$(a_{11}a_{22} - a_{21}a_{12})x_2 = b_2a_{11} - b_1a_{21} \quad \text{and so,} \quad x_2 = \frac{b_2a_{11} - b_1a_{21}}{a_{11}a_{22} - a_{21}a_{12}}$$

We can do a similar trick to eliminate  $x_2$  from the first equation and get

$$x_1 = \frac{b_1a_{22} - b_2a_{12}}{a_{11}a_{22} - a_{21}a_{12}}.$$

If we have reason to believe an  $n \times n$  system has a unique solution, is there a formula for that solution? Here is what happens when  $n = 1$ :

$$ax_1 = b, \quad x_1 = \frac{b}{a}$$

We know it has a unique solution if  $a \neq 0$ . Now consider

$$a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 = b_1$$

$$a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 = b_2$$

If we multiply the first equation by  $a_{21}$  and the second equation by  $a_{11}$  and subtract the first from the second we get

$$(a_{11}a_{22} - a_{21}a_{12})x_2 = b_2a_{11} - b_1a_{21} \quad \text{and so,} \quad x_2 = \frac{b_2a_{11} - b_1a_{21}}{a_{11}a_{22} - a_{21}a_{12}}$$

We can do a similar trick to eliminate  $x_2$  from the first equation and get

$$x_1 = \frac{b_1a_{22} - b_2a_{12}}{a_{11}a_{22} - a_{21}a_{12}}. \quad \text{This works if } a_{11}a_{22} - a_{21}a_{12} \neq 0.$$



The denominator  $a_{11}a_{22} - a_{21}a_{12}$  is called the *determinant* of the  $2 \times 2$  system. Historically, this was because it *determines* whether the system had a unique solution.

The denominator  $a_{11}a_{22} - a_{21}a_{12}$  is called the *determinant* of the  $2 \times 2$  system. Historically, this was because it *determines* whether the system had a unique solution.

This same sort of thing can be done for larger  $n \times n$  systems, but the formulas quickly get way out of hand.

The denominator  $a_{11}a_{22} - a_{21}a_{12}$  is called the *determinant* of the  $2 \times 2$  system. Historically, this was because it *determines* whether the system had a unique solution.

This same sort of thing can be done for larger  $n \times n$  systems, but the formulas quickly get way out of hand. For  $3 \times 3$  systems, the variables can again be solved for as fractions with this denominator:

$$D = a_{11}a_{22}a_{33} - a_{11}a_{23}a_{32} + a_{12}a_{23}a_{31} - a_{12}a_{21}a_{33} + a_{13}a_{21}a_{32} - a_{13}a_{22}a_{31}$$

The denominator  $a_{11}a_{22} - a_{21}a_{12}$  is called the *determinant* of the  $2 \times 2$  system. Historically, this was because it *determines* whether the system had a unique solution.

This same sort of thing can be done for larger  $n \times n$  systems, but the formulas quickly get way out of hand. For  $3 \times 3$  systems, the variables can again be solved for as fractions with this denominator:

$$D = a_{11}a_{22}a_{33} - a_{11}a_{23}a_{32} + a_{12}a_{23}a_{31} - a_{12}a_{21}a_{33} + a_{13}a_{21}a_{32} - a_{13}a_{22}a_{31}$$

The numerators are similarly complex.

The denominator  $a_{11}a_{22} - a_{21}a_{12}$  is called the *determinant* of the  $2 \times 2$  system. Historically, this was because it *determines* whether the system had a unique solution.

This same sort of thing can be done for larger  $n \times n$  systems, but the formulas quickly get way out of hand. For  $3 \times 3$  systems, the variables can again be solved for as fractions with this denominator:

$$D = a_{11}a_{22}a_{33} - a_{11}a_{23}a_{32} + a_{12}a_{23}a_{31} - a_{12}a_{21}a_{33} + a_{13}a_{21}a_{32} - a_{13}a_{22}a_{31}$$

The numerators are similarly complex. For  $4 \times 4$  systems there are 24 terms with four factors in each term.

The denominator  $a_{11}a_{22} - a_{21}a_{12}$  is called the *determinant* of the  $2 \times 2$  system. Historically, this was because it *determines* whether the system had a unique solution.

This same sort of thing can be done for larger  $n \times n$  systems, but the formulas quickly get way out of hand. For  $3 \times 3$  systems, the variables can again be solved for as fractions with this denominator:

$$D = a_{11}a_{22}a_{33} - a_{11}a_{23}a_{32} + a_{12}a_{23}a_{31} - a_{12}a_{21}a_{33} + a_{13}a_{21}a_{32} - a_{13}a_{22}a_{31}$$

The numerators are similarly complex. For  $4 \times 4$  systems there are 24 terms with four factors in each term.

In the formula for  $D$  above, each term is a product  $a_{1i}a_{2j}a_{3k}$  where  $i, j, k$  is a permutation of 1, 2, 3.

The denominator  $a_{11}a_{22} - a_{21}a_{12}$  is called the *determinant* of the  $2 \times 2$  system. Historically, this was because it *determines* whether the system had a unique solution.

This same sort of thing can be done for larger  $n \times n$  systems, but the formulas quickly get way out of hand. For  $3 \times 3$  systems, the variables can again be solved for as fractions with this denominator:

$$D = a_{11}a_{22}a_{33} - a_{11}a_{23}a_{32} + a_{12}a_{23}a_{31} - a_{12}a_{21}a_{33} + a_{13}a_{21}a_{32} - a_{13}a_{22}a_{31}$$

The numerators are similarly complex. For  $4 \times 4$  systems there are 24 terms with four factors in each term.

In the formula for  $D$  above, each term is a product  $a_{1i}a_{2j}a_{3k}$  where  $i, j, k$  is a permutation of 1, 2, 3. If one permutation comes from another by a single exchange of 2 positions, then the sign of the product is changed.

Thus, we define the determinants of an  $n \times n$  matrix in a more computable way.



Thus, we define the determinants of an  $n \times n$  matrix in a more computable way.

First, some notation and terminology. If  $A$  is a square matrix we write  $\det(A)$  for its determinant.

Thus, we define the determinants of an  $n \times n$  matrix in a more computable way.

First, some notation and terminology. If  $A$  is a square matrix we write  $\det(A)$  for its determinant.

$$\text{If } A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus, we define the determinants of an  $n \times n$  matrix in a more computable way.

First, some notation and terminology. If  $A$  is a square matrix we write  $\det(A)$  for its determinant.

$$\text{If } A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$

then we write

$$\det(A) = \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{vmatrix} \quad \text{for its determinant.}$$

For a  $1 \times 1$  matrix define: if  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} \end{pmatrix}$  then  $\det(A) = a_{11}$ . For a  $2 \times 2$  matrix define:

$$\text{if } A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \text{ then } \det(A) = a_{11}a_{22} - a_{21}a_{12}.$$

## Minors

If  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix, the  $(n - 1) \times (n - 1)$  matrix obtained by deleting row  $i$  and column  $j$  from  $A$  will be denoted  $M_{ij}$ . This is called the *ij-minor* of  $A$ . For example, if

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 5 & -3 \\ 2 & -1 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \text{ then } M_{23} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

## Cofactors

If  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix and  $M_{ij}$  is the  $ij$ -minor, then  $A_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} \det(M_{ij})$  is called the  *$ij$ -cofactor* of  $A$ .

## Cofactors

If  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix and  $M_{ij}$  is the  $ij$ -minor, then  $A_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} \det(M_{ij})$  is called the  *$ij$ -cofactor* of  $A$ . The example from the previous page: if

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 5 & -3 \\ 2 & -1 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{then} \quad A_{23} = - \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 \end{vmatrix} = 6$$

## Cofactors

If  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix and  $M_{ij}$  is the  $ij$ -minor, then  $A_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} \det(M_{ij})$  is called the  $ij$ -*cofactor* of  $A$ . The example from the previous page: if

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 5 & -3 \\ 2 & -1 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{then} \quad A_{23} = - \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 \end{vmatrix} = 6$$

Now we can describe a computation that produces the determinant of a matrix  $A$ .

### Definition

The determinant of an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  is a scalar associated to  $A$  that is computed recursively by

$$\det(A) = \begin{cases} a_{11} & \text{if } n = 1, \\ a_{11}A_{11} + a_{12}A_{12} + \cdots + a_{1n}A_{1n} & \text{if } n > 1. \end{cases}$$

For example, if  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 5 & -3 \\ 2 & -1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$  then the determinant of  $A$  is

$$2 \begin{vmatrix} 5 & -3 \\ -1 & 4 \end{vmatrix} + 2 \left( - \begin{vmatrix} 0 & -3 \\ 2 & 4 \end{vmatrix} \right) + 4 \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 5 \\ 2 & -1 \end{vmatrix} \\ = 2(17) + 2(-6) + 4(-10) = -18$$



For example, if  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 5 & -3 \\ 2 & -1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$  then the determinant of  $A$  is

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \begin{vmatrix} 5 & -3 \\ -1 & 4 \end{vmatrix} + 2 \left( - \begin{vmatrix} 0 & -3 \\ 2 & 4 \end{vmatrix} \right) + 4 \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 5 \\ 2 & -1 \end{vmatrix} \\ = 2(17) + 2(-6) + 4(-10) = -18 \end{aligned}$$

**Some useful properties of determinants**

For example, if  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 5 & -3 \\ 2 & -1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$  then the determinant of  $A$  is

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \begin{vmatrix} 5 & -3 \\ -1 & 4 \end{vmatrix} + 2 \left( - \begin{vmatrix} 0 & -3 \\ 2 & 4 \end{vmatrix} \right) + 4 \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 5 \\ 2 & -1 \end{vmatrix} \\ = 2(17) + 2(-6) + 4(-10) = -18 \end{aligned}$$

## Some useful properties of determinants

### Theorem

*The calculation of  $\det(A)$  can be obtained by using any row of  $A$ , that is,*

$$\det(A) = a_{i1}A_{i1} + a_{i2}A_{i2} + \cdots + a_{in}A_{in}.$$

For example, if  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 5 & -3 \\ 2 & -1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$  then the determinant of  $A$  is

$$0 \left( - \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ -1 & 4 \end{vmatrix} \right) + 5 \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 2 & 4 \end{vmatrix} + (-3) \left( - \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 \end{vmatrix} \right) \\ = 0 + 5(0) - 3(6) = -18$$

For example, if  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 5 & -3 \\ 2 & -1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$  then the determinant of  $A$  is

$$0 \left( - \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ -1 & 4 \end{vmatrix} \right) + 5 \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 2 & 4 \end{vmatrix} + (-3) \left( - \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 \end{vmatrix} \right) \\ = 0 + 5(0) - 3(6) = -18$$

The following pattern might be useful:  $\begin{pmatrix} + & - & + & - \\ - & + & - & + \\ + & - & + & - \\ - & + & - & + \end{pmatrix}$

For example, if  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 5 & -3 \\ 2 & -1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$  then the determinant of  $A$  is

$$0 \left( - \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ -1 & 4 \end{vmatrix} \right) + 5 \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 2 & 4 \end{vmatrix} + (-3) \left( - \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 \end{vmatrix} \right) \\ = 0 + 5(0) - 3(6) = -18$$

The following pattern might be useful:  $\begin{pmatrix} + & - & + & - \\ - & + & - & + \\ + & - & + & - \\ - & + & - & + \end{pmatrix}$

A matrix  $A$  with a row that is all zeros has  $\det(A) = 0$ . This is clear since we can use that row to compute the determinant.

A matrix  $A$  is *upper triangular* if every entry below the diagonal from  $a_{11}$  to  $a_{nn}$  is zero. That is,  $a_{ij} = 0$  for every entry with  $i > j$ .

A matrix  $A$  is *upper triangular* if every entry below the diagonal from  $a_{11}$  to  $a_{nn}$  is zero. That is,  $a_{ij} = 0$  for every entry with  $i > j$ . A matrix is *lower triangular* if  $a_{ij} = 0$  for every entry with  $i < j$ .

A matrix  $A$  is *upper triangular* if every entry below the diagonal from  $a_{11}$  to  $a_{nn}$  is zero. That is,  $a_{ij} = 0$  for every entry with  $i > j$ . A matrix is *lower triangular* if  $a_{ij} = 0$  for every entry with  $i < j$ .

If  $A$  is triangular (either upper or lower) then its determinant is the product of the diagonal entries:  $a_{11}a_{22} \cdots a_{nn}$ .



A matrix  $A$  is *upper triangular* if every entry below the diagonal from  $a_{11}$  to  $a_{nn}$  is zero. That is,  $a_{ij} = 0$  for every entry with  $i > j$ . A matrix is *lower triangular* if  $a_{ij} = 0$  for every entry with  $i < j$ .

If  $A$  is triangular (either upper or lower) then its determinant is the product of the diagonal entries:  $a_{11}a_{22} \cdots a_{nn}$ .

### **The effect of elementary row operations**

A matrix  $A$  is *upper triangular* if every entry below the diagonal from  $a_{11}$  to  $a_{nn}$  is zero. That is,  $a_{ij} = 0$  for every entry with  $i > j$ . A matrix is *lower triangular* if  $a_{ij} = 0$  for every entry with  $i < j$ .

If  $A$  is triangular (either upper or lower) then its determinant is the product of the diagonal entries:  $a_{11}a_{22} \cdots a_{nn}$ .

### **The effect of elementary row operations**

One way to calculate  $\det(A)$  is to reduce it to a triangular matrix using EROs.

A matrix  $A$  is *upper triangular* if every entry below the diagonal from  $a_{11}$  to  $a_{nn}$  is zero. That is,  $a_{ij} = 0$  for every entry with  $i > j$ . A matrix is *lower triangular* if  $a_{ij} = 0$  for every entry with  $i < j$ .

If  $A$  is triangular (either upper or lower) then its determinant is the product of the diagonal entries:  $a_{11}a_{22} \cdots a_{nn}$ .

### **The effect of elementary row operations**

One way to calculate  $\det(A)$  is to reduce it to a triangular matrix using EROs. This works as long as we keep track of how these EROs change the determinant.

A matrix  $A$  is *upper triangular* if every entry below the diagonal from  $a_{11}$  to  $a_{nn}$  is zero. That is,  $a_{ij} = 0$  for every entry with  $i > j$ . A matrix is *lower triangular* if  $a_{ij} = 0$  for every entry with  $i < j$ .

If  $A$  is triangular (either upper or lower) then its determinant is the product of the diagonal entries:  $a_{11}a_{22} \cdots a_{nn}$ .

### **The effect of elementary row operations**

One way to calculate  $\det(A)$  is to reduce it to a triangular matrix using EROs. This works as long as we keep track of how these EROs change the determinant.

1. Type I: If two rows of  $A$  are exchanged, then the determinant changes sign.

A matrix  $A$  is *upper triangular* if every entry below the diagonal from  $a_{11}$  to  $a_{nn}$  is zero. That is,  $a_{ij} = 0$  for every entry with  $i > j$ . A matrix is *lower triangular* if  $a_{ij} = 0$  for every entry with  $i < j$ .

If  $A$  is triangular (either upper or lower) then its determinant is the product of the diagonal entries:  $a_{11}a_{22} \cdots a_{nn}$ .

### **The effect of elementary row operations**

One way to calculate  $\det(A)$  is to reduce it to a triangular matrix using EROs. This works as long as we keep track of how these EROs change the determinant.

1. Type I: If two rows of  $A$  are exchanged, then the determinant changes sign.
2. Type II: If a row of  $A$  is multiplied by  $\alpha$  then the determinant of the new matrix is  $\alpha \det(A)$ .

A matrix  $A$  is *upper triangular* if every entry below the diagonal from  $a_{11}$  to  $a_{nn}$  is zero. That is,  $a_{ij} = 0$  for every entry with  $i > j$ . A matrix is *lower triangular* if  $a_{ij} = 0$  for every entry with  $i < j$ .

If  $A$  is triangular (either upper or lower) then its determinant is the product of the diagonal entries:  $a_{11}a_{22} \cdots a_{nn}$ .

### **The effect of elementary row operations**

One way to calculate  $\det(A)$  is to reduce it to a triangular matrix using EROs. This works as long as we keep track of how these EROs change the determinant.

1. Type I: If two rows of  $A$  are exchanged, then the determinant changes sign.
2. Type II: If a row of  $A$  is multiplied by  $\alpha$  then the determinant of the new matrix is  $\alpha \det(A)$ .
3. Type III: If a row is changed by adding to it a multiple of another row, the determinant is not changed.

Using EROs to calculate  $\det(A)$ :

$$\begin{vmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 5 & -3 \\ 2 & -1 & 4 \end{vmatrix} = 2 \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 5 & -3 \\ 2 & -1 & 4 \end{vmatrix} = 2 \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 5 & -3 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$$

Using EROs to calculate  $\det(A)$ :

$$\begin{vmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 5 & -3 \\ 2 & -1 & 4 \end{vmatrix} = 2 \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 5 & -3 \\ 2 & -1 & 4 \end{vmatrix} = 2 \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 5 & -3 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$$

Continuing

$$= -2 \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & -3 \end{vmatrix} = -2(-3) \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & -3 \end{vmatrix} = 6 \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 \end{vmatrix} = -18$$



Using EROs to calculate  $\det(A)$ :

$$\begin{vmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 5 & -3 \\ 2 & -1 & 4 \end{vmatrix} = 2 \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 5 & -3 \\ 2 & -1 & 4 \end{vmatrix} = 2 \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 5 & -3 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$$

Continuing

$$= -2 \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & -3 \end{vmatrix} = -2(-3) \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & -3 \end{vmatrix} = 6 \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 \end{vmatrix} = -18$$

A side note: any function that assigns a scalar to a matrix and satisfies those three ERO conditions, plus the additional condition that it assigns the value 1 to the identity matrix, must in fact be the determinant.

Using EROs to calculate  $\det(A)$ :

$$\begin{vmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 5 & -3 \\ 2 & -1 & 4 \end{vmatrix} = 2 \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 5 & -3 \\ 2 & -1 & 4 \end{vmatrix} = 2 \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 5 & -3 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$$

Continuing

$$= -2 \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & -3 \end{vmatrix} = -2(-3) \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & -3 \end{vmatrix} = 6 \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 \end{vmatrix} = -18$$

A side note: any function that assigns a scalar to a matrix and satisfies those three ERO conditions, plus the additional condition that it assigns the value 1 to the identity matrix, must in fact be the determinant.

Because of this it can be proved that we can calculate the determinant by using cofactors along a column instead of a row:

Using EROs to calculate  $\det(A)$ :

$$\begin{vmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 5 & -3 \\ 2 & -1 & 4 \end{vmatrix} = 2 \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 5 & -3 \\ 2 & -1 & 4 \end{vmatrix} = 2 \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 5 & -3 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$$

Continuing

$$= -2 \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & -3 \end{vmatrix} = -2(-3) \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & -3 \end{vmatrix} = 6 \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 \end{vmatrix} = -18$$

A side note: any function that assigns a scalar to a matrix and satisfies those three ERO conditions, plus the additional condition that it assigns the value 1 to the identity matrix, must in fact be the determinant.

Because of this it can be proved that we can calculate the determinant by using cofactors along a column instead of a row:

$$\det(A) = a_{1j}A_{1j} + a_{2j}A_{2j} + \cdots + a_{nj}A_{nj}.$$

From this, it can be proved that the transpose of  $A$  has the same determinant as  $A$ :  $\det(A^T) = \det(A)$ .

From this, it can be proved that the transpose of  $A$  has the same determinant as  $A$ :  $\det(A^T) = \det(A)$ .

If a matrix has one row that is a multiple of another row then its determinant is zero:

From this, it can be proved that the transpose of  $A$  has the same determinant as  $A$ :  $\det(A^T) = \det(A)$ .

If a matrix has one row that is a multiple of another row then its determinant is zero: one type III ERO will turn it into a matrix with a row of zeros.

From this, it can be proved that the transpose of  $A$  has the same determinant as  $A$ :  $\det(A^T) = \det(A)$ .

If a matrix has one row that is a multiple of another row then its determinant is zero: one type III ERO will turn it into a matrix with a row of zeros.

Because we can calculate determinants using cofactors along a column, it follows that if any column is all 0's then the determinant is 0.

From this, it can be proved that the transpose of  $A$  has the same determinant as  $A$ :  $\det(A^T) = \det(A)$ .

If a matrix has one row that is a multiple of another row then its determinant is zero: one type III ERO will turn it into a matrix with a row of zeros.

Because we can calculate determinants using cofactors along a column, it follows that if any column is all 0's then the determinant is 0. It also follows we get the same behavior under *elementary column operations* as we have under EROs.



From this, it can be proved that the transpose of  $A$  has the same determinant as  $A$ :  $\det(A^T) = \det(A)$ .

If a matrix has one row that is a multiple of another row then its determinant is zero: one type III ERO will turn it into a matrix with a row of zeros.

Because we can calculate determinants using cofactors along a column, it follows that if any column is all 0's then the determinant is 0. It also follows we get the same behavior under *elementary column operations* as we have under EROs. And if one column is a scalar multiple of another column, then the determinant is 0.

From this, it can be proved that the transpose of  $A$  has the same determinant as  $A$ :  $\det(A^T) = \det(A)$ .

If a matrix has one row that is a multiple of another row then its determinant is zero: one type III ERO will turn it into a matrix with a row of zeros.

Because we can calculate determinants using cofactors along a column, it follows that if any column is all 0's then the determinant is 0. It also follows we get the same behavior under *elementary column operations* as we have under EROs. And if one column is a scalar multiple of another column, then the determinant is 0.

If a matrix has the form  $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ \mathcal{O} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}$  or the form

$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & \mathcal{O} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}$  then  $\det(A) = \det(A_{11}) \det(A_{22})$ . Of course, this requires  $A_{11}$  and  $A_{22}$  to be square matrices.