Gauss-Jordan Reduction

D. H. Luecking

24 Jan 2024

We'll start with a system of equations and walk through the process of solving it.

1. Determine whether it has a solution.

- 1. Determine whether it has a solution.
- 2. If it has any solution, determine whether there is one solution or more than one.

- 1. Determine whether it has a solution.
- 2. If it has any solution, determine whether there is one solution or more than one.
- 3. If it has one or more solutions, find all of them.

- 1. Determine whether it has a solution.
- 2. If it has any solution, determine whether there is one solution or more than one.
- 3. If it has one or more solutions, find all of them.

All these questions can be answered by one process, sometimes called *Gauss-Jordan elimination* (or reduction).

- 1. Determine whether it has a solution.
- 2. If it has any solution, determine whether there is one solution or more than one.
- 3. If it has one or more solutions, find all of them.

All these questions can be answered by one process, sometimes called *Gauss-Jordan elimination* (or reduction).

The system we will address is the following

$$x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 + x_4 - x_5 = 1$$

$$x_1 + 2x_2 + 2x_3 + 3x_4 - x_5 = 3$$

$$-2x_1 - 4x_2 + x_4 + x_5 = 4$$

$$x_1 + 2x_2 + 2x_3 + 4x_4 = 1$$

As we've seen, we want to eliminate x_1 from all equations except the first.

$$\left(egin{array}{cccccccc} 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & -1 & | & 1 \ 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & -1 & | & 3 \ -2 & -4 & 0 & 1 & 1 & | & 4 \ 1 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 0 & | & 1 \end{array}
ight)$$

As we've seen, we want to eliminate x_1 from all equations except the first. In terms of the matrix, this means applying operations on the rows that produce zeros in the first column of rows 2 through 4:

$$\xrightarrow{R_2 - R_1}_{R_3 + 2R_1} \left(\begin{array}{cccccc} 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & -1 & | & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & | & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 3 & -1 & | & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 1 & | & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\left(egin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & -1 & | & 1 \ 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & -1 & | & 3 \ -2 & -4 & 0 & 1 & 1 & | & 4 \ 1 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 0 & | & 1 \end{array}
ight)$$

As we've seen, we want to eliminate x_1 from all equations except the first. In terms of the matrix, this means applying operations on the rows that produce zeros in the first column of rows 2 through 4:

$$\xrightarrow{R_2 - R_1}_{R_3 + 2R_1} \left(\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & -1 & | & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & | & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 3 & -1 & | & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 1 & | & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

Note that we left the first row unchanged and *used it* to change all the rows below it.

$$\left(egin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & -1 & | & 1 \ 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & -1 & | & 3 \ -2 & -4 & 0 & 1 & 1 & | & 4 \ 1 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 0 & | & 1 \end{array}
ight)$$

As we've seen, we want to eliminate x_1 from all equations except the first. In terms of the matrix, this means applying operations on the rows that produce zeros in the first column of rows 2 through 4:

$$\xrightarrow[R_{2}-R_{1}]{R_{2}-R_{1}} \left(\begin{array}{cccccc} 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & -1 & | & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & | & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 3 & -1 & | & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 1 & | & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

Note that we left the first row unchanged and *used it* to change all the rows below it. We call R_1 the *pivot row*.

This process is simplified if the first element in R_1 is a 1.

Ideally, we would now like to have x_2 at the start of the second equation and eliminate it from the last two.

Ideally, we would now like to have x_2 at the start of the second equation and eliminate it from the last two. However, there is no x_2 in any of these equations so we move on to x_3 .

Ideally, we would now like to have x_2 at the start of the second equation and eliminate it from the last two. However, there is no x_2 in any of these equations so we move on to x_3 . Using R_2 as the pivot row, we produce zeros in the third column of rows 3 and 4.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & -1 & | & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & | & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 3 & -1 & | & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 1 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow[R_3 - 2R_2]{R_3 - 2R_2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & -1 & | & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & | & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & | & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & | & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Ideally, we would now like to have x_2 at the start of the second equation and eliminate it from the last two. However, there is no x_2 in any of these equations so we move on to x_3 . Using R_2 as the pivot row, we produce zeros in the third column of rows 3 and 4.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & -1 & | & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & | & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 3 & -1 & | & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 1 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow[R_3 - 2R_2]{R_3 - 2R_2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & -1 & | & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & | & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & | & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & | & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

One last step produces a form that is as close as we can get to triangular form.

Using the R_3 as a pivot row, we produce a zero in the 4th column of the fourth row (i.e., we eliminate x_4).

Using the R_3 as a pivot row, we produce a zero in the 4th column of the fourth row (i.e., we eliminate x_4).

This corresponds to the following system:

$$x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 + x_4 - x_5 = 1$$

$$x_3 + 2x_4 = 2$$

$$-x_4 - x_5 = 2$$

$$0 = 0$$

Using the R_3 as a pivot row, we produce a zero in the 4th column of the fourth row (i.e., we eliminate x_4).

This corresponds to the following system:

$$x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 + x_4 - x_5 = 1$$
$$x_3 + 2x_4 = 2$$
$$-x_4 - x_5 = 2$$
$$0 = 0$$

We could apply a process similar to back substitution, but we can make this a little easier if we process the matrix a few more steps.

This produces a matrix that is said to be in *row-echelon form*.

This produces a matrix that is said to be in *row-echelon form*. We'll discuss that later.

This produces a matrix that is said to be in *row-echelon form*. We'll discuss that later. The following several steps produce *reduced row-echelon form*.

This produces a matrix that is said to be in *row-echelon form*. We'll discuss that later. The following several steps produce *reduced row-echelon form*. We start with the last nonzero row and use it as a pivot to produce zeros above the 1 in column 4:

$$\xrightarrow[R_1 - R_3]{R_2 - 2R_3} \left(\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & -2 & | & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -2 & | & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & | & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

Then we do the same with the next row above that to get zero above the 1 in column 3:

Then we do the same with the next row above that to get zero above the 1 in column 3:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & -2 & | & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -2 & | & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & | & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_1 - R_2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & | & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -2 & | & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & | & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

This corresponds to the following system

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x_1 + 2x_2 & = -3 \\ x_3 & -2x_5 = 6 \\ x_4 + & x_5 = -2 \\ 0 = 0 \end{array} \right\} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} x_1 = -3 - 2x_2 \\ x_3 = 6 + 2x_5 \\ x_4 = -2 - x_5 \end{cases}$$

Then we do the same with the next row above that to get zero above the 1 in column 3:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & -2 & | & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -2 & | & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & | & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_1 - R_2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & | & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -2 & | & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & | & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

This corresponds to the following system

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x_1 + 2x_2 & = -3 \\ x_3 & -2x_5 = 6 \\ x_4 + & x_5 = -2 \\ 0 = 0 \end{array} \end{array} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} x_1 = -3 - 2x_2 \\ x_3 = 6 + 2x_5 \\ x_4 = -2 - x_5 \end{cases}$$

If we set $x_2 = \alpha$ and $x_5 = \beta$ we get the following solutions: $(-3 - 2\alpha, \alpha, 6 + 2\beta, -2 - \beta, \beta).$

1. The first nonzero element in each row (if there is one) is a 1. These are called *leading* 1's.

- 1. The first nonzero element in each row (if there is one) is a 1. These are called *leading* 1's.
- 2. The leading 1 in each row is to the right of the leading 1 in any previous row.

- 1. The first nonzero element in each row (if there is one) is a 1. These are called *leading* 1's.
- 2. The leading 1 in each row is to the right of the leading 1 in any previous row.
- 3. If a row has no leading 1's (i.e., it is all zeros), it is below all nonzero rows.

- 1. The first nonzero element in each row (if there is one) is a 1. These are called *leading* 1's.
- 2. The leading 1 in each row is to the right of the leading 1 in any previous row.
- 3. If a row has no leading 1's (i.e., it is all zeros), it is below all nonzero rows.

The following matrices are in echelon form:

$$\left(\begin{array}{rrrrr}1&2&-3&0\\0&1&4&2\\0&0&1&3\end{array}\right),\qquad \left(\begin{array}{rrrrr}1&2&-3&0\\0&0&1&2\\0&0&0&1\end{array}\right),\qquad \left(\begin{array}{rrrrr}1&2&-3&0\\0&1&2&4\\0&0&0&0\end{array}\right)$$

The following matrices are not in echelon form

The following matrices are in *reduced row-echelon form*:

$$\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\0 & 1 & 0 & 2\\0 & 0 & 1 & 3\end{array}\right), \qquad \left(\begin{array}{rrrr}1 & 2 & 0 & 0\\0 & 0 & 1 & 0\\0 & 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right), \qquad \left(\begin{array}{rrrr}1 & 0 & -7 & -8\\0 & 1 & 2 & 4\\0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$$

The following matrices are in *reduced row-echelon form*:

$$\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\0 & 1 & 0 & 2\\0 & 0 & 1 & 3\end{array}\right), \qquad \left(\begin{array}{rrrr}1 & 2 & 0 & 0\\0 & 0 & 1 & 0\\0 & 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right), \qquad \left(\begin{array}{rrrr}1 & 0 & -7 & -8\\0 & 1 & 2 & 4\\0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$$

The meaning of *reduced row-echelon form* is that in addition to being in row-echelon form, every leading 1 has zeros both above and below it in its column.

The following matrices are in *reduced row-echelon form*:

$$\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\0 & 1 & 0 & 2\\0 & 0 & 1 & 3\end{array}\right), \qquad \left(\begin{array}{rrrr}1 & 2 & 0 & 0\\0 & 0 & 1 & 0\\0 & 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right), \qquad \left(\begin{array}{rrrr}1 & 0 & -7 & -8\\0 & 1 & 2 & 4\\0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$$

The meaning of *reduced row-echelon form* is that in addition to being in row-echelon form, every leading 1 has zeros both above and below it in its column.

The advantage of being in reduced echelon form we have already seen.
The following matrices are in *reduced row-echelon form*:

ſ	1	0	0	0 `)	(1	2	0	0		ſ	1	0	-7	-8)
	0	1	0	2	,	0	0	1	0	,		0	1	2	4
l	0	0	1	3	J		0	0	1		l	0	0	0	0]

The meaning of *reduced row-echelon form* is that in addition to being in row-echelon form, every leading 1 has zeros both above and below it in its column.

The advantage of being in reduced echelon form we have already seen. Each leading 1 corresponds to a *leading variable*: that being the first variable present in the corresponding equation.

The following matrices are in *reduced row-echelon form*:

ſ	1	0	0	0 `)	(1	2	0	0		ſ	1	0	-7	-8)
	0	1	0	2	,	0	0	1	0	,		0	1	2	4
l	0	0	1	3	J		0	0	1		l	0	0	0	0]

The meaning of *reduced row-echelon form* is that in addition to being in row-echelon form, every leading 1 has zeros both above and below it in its column.

The advantage of being in reduced echelon form we have already seen. Each leading 1 corresponds to a *leading variable*: that being the first variable present in the corresponding equation. Each leading variable is present in only one equation, so we can easily solve for it in terms of the other (*nonleading*) variables. Nonleading variables are also called *free* variables because we are free to assign them any value. Let's be a little more precise about our solution method.

• Type I: exchanging two rows

- Type I: exchanging two rows
- Type II: multiplying all the elements in one row by a nonzero number.

- Type I: exchanging two rows
- Type II: multiplying all the elements in one row by a nonzero number.
- Type III: changing one row by adding to it a multiple of another row.

- Type I: exchanging two rows
- Type II: multiplying all the elements in one row by a nonzero number.
- Type III: changing one row by adding to it a multiple of another row.

Two matrices are called *row equivalent* if we can change one into the other by a sequence of EROs.

- Type I: exchanging two rows
- Type II: multiplying all the elements in one row by a nonzero number.
- Type III: changing one row by adding to it a multiple of another row.

Two matrices are called *row equivalent* if we can change one into the other by a sequence of EROs. Note that if we can change A into B by EROs we can also change B into A by EROs.

- Type I: exchanging two rows
- Type II: multiplying all the elements in one row by a nonzero number.
- Type III: changing one row by adding to it a multiple of another row.

Two matrices are called *row equivalent* if we can change one into the other by a sequence of EROs. Note that if we can change A into B by EROs we can also change B into A by EROs. That's because every ERO can be undone by another ERO of the same type:

- Type I: exchanging two rows
- Type II: multiplying all the elements in one row by a nonzero number.
- Type III: changing one row by adding to it a multiple of another row.

Two matrices are called *row equivalent* if we can change one into the other by a sequence of EROs. Note that if we can change A into B by EROs we can also change B into A by EROs. That's because every ERO can be undone by another ERO of the same type:

1. If we exchange two rows, we can undo that by exchanging the same two again.

- Type I: exchanging two rows
- Type II: multiplying all the elements in one row by a nonzero number.
- Type III: changing one row by adding to it a multiple of another row.

Two matrices are called *row equivalent* if we can change one into the other by a sequence of EROs. Note that if we can change A into B by EROs we can also change B into A by EROs. That's because every ERO can be undone by another ERO of the same type:

- 1. If we exchange two rows, we can undo that by exchanging the same two again.
- 2. If we multiply a row by α we can undo that by multiplying the same row by $1/\alpha.$

- Type I: exchanging two rows
- Type II: multiplying all the elements in one row by a nonzero number.
- Type III: changing one row by adding to it a multiple of another row.

Two matrices are called *row equivalent* if we can change one into the other by a sequence of EROs. Note that if we can change A into B by EROs we can also change B into A by EROs. That's because every ERO can be undone by another ERO of the same type:

- 1. If we exchange two rows, we can undo that by exchanging the same two again.
- 2. If we multiply a row by α we can undo that by multiplying the same row by $1/\alpha.$
- 3. If we change R_k by adding αR_j to it, we can change R_k back by adding $-\alpha R_j$ to it.

If we apply EROs to an augmented matrix, the result is equivalent to the starting matrix.

1. Every matrix can be put into echelon form by a sequence of EROs.

- 1. Every matrix can be put into echelon form by a sequence of EROs.
- 2. If an augmented matrix is in echelon form, there is a straightforward way to solve the corresponding system of equations.

- 1. Every matrix can be put into echelon form by a sequence of EROs.
- 2. If an augmented matrix is in echelon form, there is a straightforward way to solve the corresponding system of equations.

How to use EROs to get into echelon form.

- 1. Every matrix can be put into echelon form by a sequence of EROs.
- 2. If an augmented matrix is in echelon form, there is a straightforward way to solve the corresponding system of equations.

How to use EROs to get into echelon form. Start with the first column:

0. If the column is all zeros ignore it, move to the next column and start again.

- 1. Every matrix can be put into echelon form by a sequence of EROs.
- 2. If an augmented matrix is in echelon form, there is a straightforward way to solve the corresponding system of equations.

- 0. If the column is all zeros ignore it, move to the next column and start again.
- 1. If the column is not all zeros make the top of the column nonzero by exchanging it with a row below it if necessary. This top row will then be the pivot row.

- 1. Every matrix can be put into echelon form by a sequence of EROs.
- 2. If an augmented matrix is in echelon form, there is a straightforward way to solve the corresponding system of equations.

- 0. If the column is all zeros ignore it, move to the next column and start again.
- 1. If the column is not all zeros make the top of the column nonzero by exchanging it with a row below it if necessary. This top row will then be the pivot row.
- 2. Make the leading entry of the pivot row a 1 by multiplying that whole row by the reciprocal of that entry.

- 1. Every matrix can be put into echelon form by a sequence of EROs.
- 2. If an augmented matrix is in echelon form, there is a straightforward way to solve the corresponding system of equations.

- 0. If the column is all zeros ignore it, move to the next column and start again.
- 1. If the column is not all zeros make the top of the column nonzero by exchanging it with a row below it if necessary. This top row will then be the pivot row.
- 2. Make the leading entry of the pivot row a 1 by multiplying that whole row by the reciprocal of that entry.
- 3. Using that row as a pivot, add multiples of it to the rows below so that there are only zeros in the column below the leading 1.

- 1. Every matrix can be put into echelon form by a sequence of EROs.
- 2. If an augmented matrix is in echelon form, there is a straightforward way to solve the corresponding system of equations.

- 0. If the column is all zeros ignore it, move to the next column and start again.
- 1. If the column is not all zeros make the top of the column nonzero by exchanging it with a row below it if necessary. This top row will then be the pivot row.
- 2. Make the leading entry of the pivot row a 1 by multiplying that whole row by the reciprocal of that entry.
- 3. Using that row as a pivot, add multiples of it to the rows below so that there are only zeros in the column below the leading 1.
- 4. Apply all of the above to the part of the matrix below that row. Repeat until the matrix is in echelon form.

Here's a small example from start to finish.

Step 1 requires us to exchange rows 1 and 2 to get a nonzero entry at the top of column 1.

Step 1 requires us to exchange rows 1 and 2 to get a nonzero entry at the top of column 1. Then step 2 will require us to multiply the new first row by 1/2:

We can skip step 3 because all the entries below the leading 1 in the pivot row are already 0.

We can skip step 3 because all the entries below the leading 1 in the pivot row are already 0. Step four requires us to ignore row 1 and begin again.

We can skip step 3 because all the entries below the leading 1 in the pivot row are already 0. Step four requires us to ignore row 1 and begin again. The first column of the remaining matrix is all zeros so we work with the second column.

We can skip step 3 because all the entries below the leading 1 in the pivot row are already 0. Step four requires us to ignore row 1 and begin again. The first column of the remaining matrix is all zeros so we work with the second column. The top of that column is a 1 so we can skip steps 1 and 2 and apply step 3 using row 2 as a pivot:

We can skip step 3 because all the entries below the leading 1 in the pivot row are already 0. Step four requires us to ignore row 1 and begin again. The first column of the remaining matrix is all zeros so we work with the second column. The top of that column is a 1 so we can skip steps 1 and 2 and apply step 3 using row 2 as a pivot:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 0 & | & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 2 & | & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & -2 & 5 & | & 2 \\ 0 & 3 & -3 & 7 & | & 4 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3 - 2R_2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 0 & | & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 2 & | & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & | & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

We can skip step 3 because all the entries below the leading 1 in the pivot row are already 0. Step four requires us to ignore row 1 and begin again. The first column of the remaining matrix is all zeros so we work with the second column. The top of that column is a 1 so we can skip steps 1 and 2 and apply step 3 using row 2 as a pivot:

ſ	1	2	3	0	2	1	(1	2	3	0	2)
I	0	1	-1	2	1	$R_3 - 2R_2$	0	1	-1	2	1
I	0	2	-2	5	2	$\overrightarrow{R_4-3R_2}$	0	0	0	1	0
l	0	3	-3	7	4		0	0	0	1	1]

Now we ignore rows 1 and 2 and work with the last 2 rows.

We can skip step 3 because all the entries below the leading 1 in the pivot row are already 0. Step four requires us to ignore row 1 and begin again. The first column of the remaining matrix is all zeros so we work with the second column. The top of that column is a 1 so we can skip steps 1 and 2 and apply step 3 using row 2 as a pivot:

ſ	1	2	3	0	2)		(1	2	3	0	2)
l	0	1	-1	2	1	$R_3 - 2R_2$	0	1	-1	2	1
l	0	2	-2	5	2	$\overrightarrow{R_4-3R_2}$	0	0	0	1	0
l	0	3	-3	7	4		0	0	0	1	1]

Now we ignore rows 1 and 2 and work with the last 2 rows. Again, steps 1 and 2 can be skipped and we apply step 3 once to get a zero in column 4 below the leading 1.

$$\xrightarrow[R_4-R_3]{} \left(\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right)$$

You will notice that the last row has all zeros in the system part and a 1 in the augmented part.

You will notice that the last row has all zeros in the system part and a 1 in the augmented part. This corresponds to an equation that says

 $0x_1 + 0x_2 + 0x_3 + 0x_4 = 1.$
You will notice that the last row has all zeros in the system part and a 1 in the augmented part. This corresponds to an equation that says

$$0x_1 + 0x_2 + 0x_3 + 0x_4 = 1.$$

This equation has no solutions and so the whole system has no solutions.

You will notice that the last row has all zeros in the system part and a 1 in the augmented part. This corresponds to an equation that says

$$0x_1 + 0x_2 + 0x_3 + 0x_4 = 1.$$

This equation has no solutions and so the whole system has no solutions. Note also that (I won't write out the details) *if that row/equation were not present* the system would look like the following:

$$\begin{array}{c} x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 &= 2\\ x_2 - x_3 + 2x_4 = 1\\ x_4 = 0 \end{array} \right\} \text{ or } \begin{cases} x_1 = -5x_3\\ x_2 = 1 + x_3\\ x_4 = 0 \end{cases}$$

You will notice that the last row has all zeros in the system part and a 1 in the augmented part. This corresponds to an equation that says

$$0x_1 + 0x_2 + 0x_3 + 0x_4 = 1.$$

This equation has no solutions and so the whole system has no solutions. Note also that (I won't write out the details) *if that row/equation were not present* the system would look like the following:

$$\begin{array}{c} x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 &= 2\\ x_2 - x_3 + 2x_4 = 1\\ x_4 = 0 \end{array} \right\} \text{ or } \begin{cases} x_1 = -5x_3\\ x_2 = 1 + x_3\\ x_4 = 0 \end{cases}$$

This leads us the following rules for determining the number of solutions.

1. If the echelon form of the augmented matrix has a row which is zero in the system part and nonzero in the augmented part, then there are no solutions.

- 1. If the echelon form of the augmented matrix has a row which is zero in the system part and nonzero in the augmented part, then there are no solutions.
- 2. If there is no row as described in item 1, and if there are any *nonleading variables*, then there are infinitely many solutions.

- 1. If the echelon form of the augmented matrix has a row which is zero in the system part and nonzero in the augmented part, then there are no solutions.
- 2. If there is no row as described in item 1, and if there are any *nonleading variables*, then there are infinitely many solutions.
- 3. If there is no row as described in item 1, and if all variables are *leading variables*, then there is exactly one solution.

- 1. If the echelon form of the augmented matrix has a row which is zero in the system part and nonzero in the augmented part, then there are no solutions.
- 2. If there is no row as described in item 1, and if there are any *nonleading variables*, then there are infinitely many solutions.
- 3. If there is no row as described in item 1, and if all variables are *leading variables*, then there is exactly one solution.

Our next step is to determine what solutions there are once we know there are solutions.

- 1. If the echelon form of the augmented matrix has a row which is zero in the system part and nonzero in the augmented part, then there are no solutions.
- 2. If there is no row as described in item 1, and if there are any *nonleading variables*, then there are infinitely many solutions.
- 3. If there is no row as described in item 1, and if all variables are *leading variables*, then there is exactly one solution.

Our next step is to determine what solutions there are once we know there are solutions.

Let's consider the following augmented matrix, already in echelon form:

- 1. If the echelon form of the augmented matrix has a row which is zero in the system part and nonzero in the augmented part, then there are no solutions.
- 2. If there is no row as described in item 1, and if there are any *nonleading variables*, then there are infinitely many solutions.
- 3. If there is no row as described in item 1, and if all variables are *leading variables*, then there is exactly one solution.

Our next step is to determine what solutions there are once we know there are solutions.

Let's consider the following augmented matrix, already in echelon form:

ſ	1	3	2	0	1)
	0	1	-1	2	1
	0	0	0	1	2
l	0	0	0	0	0]

From the rules we developed we see that it has infinitely many solutions (second rule) because the leading 1's in columns 1, 2 and 4 correspond to leading variables x_1 , x_2 , x_4 . This means x_3 is a free variable.

We can perform some more EROs (working from the bottom) to get reduced echelon form:

$$\xrightarrow{R_2 - 2R_3} \left(\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & 3 & 2 & 0 & | & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & | & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & | & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{R_1 - 3R_2} \left(\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} 1 & 0 & 5 & 0 & | & 10 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & | & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & | & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

We can perform some more EROs (working from the bottom) to get reduced echelon form:

$$\xrightarrow{R_2 - 2R_3} \left(\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & 3 & 2 & 0 & | & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & | & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & | & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{R_1 - 3R_2} \left(\begin{array}{cccccccccc} 1 & 0 & 5 & 0 & | & 10 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & | & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & | & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

Once we have reduced echelon form we can convert the matrix to a system, and solve for the leading variables:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} x_1 & +5x_3 & = 10 \\ x_2 - x_3 & = -3 \\ x_4 = 2 \end{array} \right\} \text{ or } \begin{cases} x_1 = 10 - 5x_3 \\ x_2 = -3 + x_3 \\ x_4 = 2 \end{cases}$$

We can perform some more EROs (working from the bottom) to get reduced echelon form:

$$\xrightarrow{R_2 - 2R_3} \left(\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & 3 & 2 & 0 & | & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & | & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & | & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{R_1 - 3R_2} \left(\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} 1 & 0 & 5 & 0 & | & 10 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & | & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & | & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

Once we have reduced echelon form we can convert the matrix to a system, and solve for the leading variables:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} x_1 & +5x_3 & = 10 \\ x_2 - x_3 & = -3 \\ & & x_4 = 2 \end{array} \right\} \text{ or } \begin{cases} x_1 = 10 - 5x_3 \\ x_2 = -3 + x_3 \\ x_4 = 2 \end{cases}$$

Then all solutions arise from setting the nonleading variables (in this case just x_3) to arbitrary values and using these equations to get formulas for the leading variables.

For example: $x_3 = \alpha$ leads to $x_1 = 10 - 5\alpha$, $x_2 = -3 + \alpha$ and $x_4 = 2$.

For example: $x_3 = \alpha$ leads to $x_1 = 10 - 5\alpha$, $x_2 = -3 + \alpha$ and $x_4 = 2$. The solutions are therefore

 $(10 - 5\alpha, -3 + \alpha, \alpha, 2), \quad \alpha \text{ any real number.}$

For example: $x_3 = \alpha$ leads to $x_1 = 10 - 5\alpha$, $x_2 = -3 + \alpha$ and $x_4 = 2$. The solutions are therefore

 $(10 - 5\alpha, -3 + \alpha, \alpha, 2), \quad \alpha \text{ any real number.}$

If we know there is at least one solution, and there are no nonleading variables then solving for the leading variables just gives each equal to some number.

For example: $x_3 = \alpha$ leads to $x_1 = 10 - 5\alpha$, $x_2 = -3 + \alpha$ and $x_4 = 2$. The solutions are therefore

 $(10 - 5\alpha, -3 + \alpha, \alpha, 2), \quad \alpha \text{ any real number.}$

If we know there is at least one solution, and there are no nonleading variables then solving for the leading variables just gives each equal to some number. This produces exactly one solution.